

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/613,296	07/03/2003	Bruce William Lavash	9322	3945		
27752	7590 06/08/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
THE PROC	TER & GAMBLE CO	STEPHENS, JA	STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F			
	UAL PROPERTY DIVI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	LL TECHNICAL CENT	ARTONII	TATER NUMBER			
6110 CENTE	R HILL AVENUE	3761				
CINCINNAT	TI, OH 45224					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/613	296	LAVASH, BRUCE	LAVASH, BRUCE WILLIAM			
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Jacquel	ine F. Stephens	3761				
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on t	he cover shet with ti	he correspondence ad	ddr ss			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this composition of the properties of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. Intuition at the state of the	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS pplication to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of the conner (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a)	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4) 🖾	4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
•	() Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[2]	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restrict	on and/or election i	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)☐ accepted or	b) ☐ objected to by t	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.	Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	'	· · · · ·		Selved III tilis Nationa	1 Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	it(s)							
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			mary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (lail Date mal Patent Application (PT	ΓO-152)			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Simplify Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species 1 – zones of extensibility comprise slits; Species 2 – zones of extensibility compre incrementally-stretched rib-like elements. The species are independent or distinct because the species represent different methods of forming the article resulting in different structures.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to Roddy Bullock on 5/10/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/613,296

Art Unit: 3761

Page 4

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jaddueline F Stephens

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761

May 10, 2006